## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

MARCKIA LAWRENCE,

No. 3:19-cv-02103-JR ORDER

Plaintiff,

v.

PARAMOUNT RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE GROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

## HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Russo issued a Findings and Recommendation [43] on May 4, 2021, in which she recommends that the Court grant Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [35] and deny Plaintiff's Rule 56(d)/Rule 56(f) Motion [39]. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Case 3:19-cv-02103-JR Document 47 Filed 08/12/21 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings & Recommendation.

Pl. Obj., ECF 24. When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings &

Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the

Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th

Cir. 2009); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

The Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's objections and concludes that there is no

basis to modify the Findings & Recommendation. The Court has also reviewed the pertinent

portions of the record de novo and finds no error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings &

Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge Russo's Findings and Recommendation [43].

Therefore, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment is granted and Plaintiff's Rule

56(d)/Rule 56(f) Motion is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>August 12, 2021</u>.

United States District Judge